

Table1. Case Comparisons: RPU v. State		
	RPU	State
Institutional Characteristics		
Institutional Control	Private	Public
Land Grant ?	No	Yes
Medical School?	Yes	Yes
Agriculture School?	No	Yes
Total Enrollment (1998)	15,000	35,000
S&E Grad Enrollment (1998)	4,600	3,200
S&E Post-docs (1998)	1,100	500
Faculty Size (1996)	850	1,350
Endowment Assets (1996)	> \$3 Billion	< \$100 Million
# Research Doctorate Programs (1993)	> 40	< 30
Research Capacity		
Total Researchers (1998)	6,540	5,040
Total R&D Expenditures in thousands (1998)	410,309	302,328
Total Articles Published (1998)	3,795	2,426
Life Sciences Researchers (1998)	1,545	1,523
Life Sciences R&D Expenditures (1998)	155,050	75,275
Life Sciences Articles Published (1998)	807	475
Physical Sciences Researchers (1998)	429	587
Physical Sciences R&D Expenditures (1998)	58,555	71,248
Physical Sciences Articles Published (1998)	629	576
Engineering Researchers (1998)	2,629	732
Engineering R&D Expenditures (1998)	116,364	42,394
Engineering Articles Published (1998)	741	431
Tech Transfer Capacity		
Formal TTO?	Yes	Yes
Patent Management Firm?	No	Yes
Foundation/Corporation?	No	No
Licensing FTEs (1998)	18	2.5
Support FTEs (1998)	5.5	4.5
Program Founded	1970	1988
Tech Transfer Measures		
# Disclosures (1998)	247	90
# New Applications (1998)	130	16
Issued Patents (1998)	86	17
Issued Patents (1976-98)	889	72
New Licenses/Options Executed (1998)	118	32
Licenses yeilding Income (1998)	299	45
Gross Licensing Income (1998)	61,245	477
# Start-ups formed (1998)	9	3
# Licenses with Equity (1998)	6	0